

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,231	9/936,231 09/12/2001		Claude Dreulle Maurice	EDV/MAE BET 01/0599	2580
466	7590	05/08/2003			5
YOUNG &	THOMP	SON	EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH ARLINGTO		REET 2ND FLOOI 2202	₹	CHANEY, CA	ROL DIANE
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1745	
				DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		8/w-5					
	Application No.	Applicant(s) /					
Office Action Commons	09/936,231	MAURICE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carol Chaney	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	<u> 2 September 2001</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ 2	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applica	ation No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5					

Art Unit: 1745

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the description of a wall element in claim 10, the phrase "in the form of a profile" is not considered to be proper idiomatic English, is not understood, and thus is not distinctly claimed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stone, US Patent 5,403,679.

Stone discloses a box holding a plurality of batteries. (See Figure 1.) The box is a modular battery stack with a plurality of battery trays. As shown in Fig. 3, the side walls consist of an assembly of stacked modules (40), each having a pair of wall elements (38). With regards to claim 10, each wall element has a folded edge, which

Art Unit: 1745

combined with the bottom piece of the module above, form a support for a row of batteries. With regards to claim 11, the battery includes retention means, (46) and (34). With regards to claim 12, posts (70) are removable rods, extending through the folded edges of the battery box.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stone, US Patent 5,403,679.

With regards to claims 13-15, Stone discloses applicants' invention essentially as claimed, with the exception that Stone shows only one end wall (16) with the fixing lugs, (92), ventilation, and spaced openings for use with a forklift truck (86) as claimed. Note that elements 92 are described by Stone as "key latches", but are broadly considered to be "lugs". The use of a second end wall would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because Stone describes a purpose of the first end wall being "to provide an aesthetically desirable appearance". (Column 6, lines 32-37.) Thus, in order to proved an aesthetically desirable appearance having symmetry, one of ordinary

Art Unit: 1745

skill in the art would provide a second end wall symmetrically arranged, and thus at the opposite end wall, to the one described by Stone.

With regards to claim 16, Stone describes applicants' invention essentially as claimed, with the exception that Stone does not describe a plurality of battery boxes. A plurality of battery boxes as disclosed by Stone would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because the duplication of parts (for a multiplied effect) has been shown to be obvious unless a synergistic effect can be shown. See St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., Inc., 193 USPQ 8, 11, (7th Cir.) 1977)

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Iwamura, US Patent 6,400,122 discloses a "battery box".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol Chaney whose telephone number is (703) 305-3777. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 1745

Page 5

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Carol Chaney Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

cc May 5, 2003